	>	09-CR-466(BMC)
UNITED STATES OF A	AMERICA,	United States Courthouse
Plaintif	Ēf,	Brooklyn, New York
-against	<u>;</u> –	February 15, 2018 10:00 a.m.
JOAQUIN GUZMAN LOE	ERA,	10.00 a.m.
Defendar	nt.	_
	>	ζ
		AUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE BLE BRIAN M. COGAN
		DISTRICT JUDGE
APPEARANCES		O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
For the Government		STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ON District of New York
		yn, New York 11201 ANDREA GOLDBARG
	Ν	MICHAEL P. ROBOTTI
		PATRICIA E. NOTOPOULOS AMANDA LISKAMM
		MICHAEL P. ROBOTTI GINA PARLOVECCHIO
		STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
	Southe	ern District of Florida
	•	Florida 33132 ADAM S. FELS
	I	LYNN KIRKPATRICK
		TIC AND DANGEROUS DRUG SECTION
	-	of Justice, Criminal Division ngton, DC 20530
		AMANDA LISKAMM, ESQ. ANTHONY NARDOZZI, ESQ.
For the Defendant:	: BALARI	EZO LAW
	400 Se Suite	eventh Street, NW 306
	Washir	ngton, DC 20004 ANGEL EDUARDO BALAREZO, ESQ.

1 (In open court.) 2 THE LAW CLERK: All rise. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 MS. GOLDBARG: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Be seated please. 6 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States versus Guzman, 7 Docket Number 09-CR-466. 8 Counsel, please state your appearances starting with 9 the government. 10 MS. GOLDBARG: Good morning, Your Honor. For the 11 government, Andrea Goldbarg, Amanda Liskamm, Gina 12 Parlovecchio, Anthony Nardozzi, Michael Robotti and Adam Fels. 1.3 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. 14 MR. BALAREZO: Good morning, Your Honor. Eduardo 15 Balarezo on Behalf of Mr. Guzman. 16 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Balarezo. 17 Good morning Mr. Guzman. 18 I'll note for the record that we have an interpreter 19 for Mr. Guzman, who is sitting next to him and has been previously sworn. 20 2.1 All right, thank you both sides for the agenda that 22 It looks like we have agreement that March 23rd should 23 be the date for the submission of the jury questionnaire. So

If you can't agree on it, I hope you can -- we've

2.4

25

that's ordered.

done enough of them in this courthouse -- but if you can't agree on it, then please just give me marked versions of what one side wants and the other side objects to and I'll make a decision on those.

1.3

Okay. Next let's talk about the trial date.

Mr. Balarezo, I certainly understand why you needed the case moved, given the volume of discovery. I'm not sure why we can't start right after the holiday instead of sometime towards the end of September.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, the simple reason is my wife would kill me. But I am at the Court's pleasure.

THE COURT: How long have you been married?

MR. BALAREZO: Close to 20 years.

THE COURT: You're still here, so odds are, you know, she won't. I think we're all, mostly the lawyers but even the Court, has to make sacrifices in a case like this, and I do want to get it underway as soon as possible. So let's start with jury selection on September 5th, okay.

Next, I do need a discovery cutoff date from the government as to the non-3500 material.

Now I understand in a case like this there's always going to be last minute small discoveries, and when I say "last minute," I mean after the date that I'm going to set.

But I think the government ought to be done by, say, middle of March, towards the end of March. When I say "done," I mean

substantially done but for a few documents that may have been overlooked.

MS. GOLDBARG: Your Honor, we agree with that, but

MS. GOLDBARG: Your Honor, we agree with that, but we believe that we produced the vast majority of the discovery and we are waiting for items that are not in our possession or control, and we are turning those over as soon as we get them.

THE COURT: Okay, so let's say March 19th.

MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's the cutoff date for the government to make discovery. It's a somewhat flexible date, but we can't have a truck load of documents going to the defendant after that date.

Okay, now, in terms of the motion schedule, I'm not sure why the government is proposing two tranches. Why can't we have all the motions at once?

MS. GOLDBARG: Your Honor, as we indicated in our agenda, some of the motions will deal with information related to 3500 material or witnesses that are subject to the delay disclosure motion that we requested, therefore, we wouldn't be in a position to do that earlier on.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GOLDBARG: So we think it would be logical to split them up in that way.

THE COURT: Okay. That makes some sense, but your proposed schedule is going to jam us up on the September 5th

trial. I mean you're talking about reply papers on the second tranche of August 20th with a trial date of September 5th.

1.3

Let me ask this question. It's always hard to set motion schedules without really knowing what the motions are.

Does the government anticipate any of its motions will require an evidentiary hearing?

MS. GOLDBARG: I don't believe so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. It's still, for the second tranche, a short time. I understand the government's concern about too early disclosure, but can't we move it up, the second tranche, the first tranche is fine, but the second tranche say ten days?

MS. GOLDBARG: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, let's do that. I'm not going to count the days, but instead of August 6th, August 13th and August 20th, it will be ten days earlier.

Now with regard to the defendant's motions, I understand, Mr. Balarezo, that you want to have all the production in hand before you decide what motions you want to make. On the other hand, you've got most of it now. So what I'm going to do is this, unless you talk me out of it. I'm going to say make your motions, particularly if you think they will require an evidentiary hearing.

Do you have any feel for that one way or the other right now?

1 MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, I don't based on what 2 we've received, but I do based on just common sense. 3 THE COURT: I understand. 4 MR. BALAREZO: So I've asked the government for 5 certain information with respect to discovery. I've been told 6 by the government that I wouldn't have standing to raise 7 certain issues, which I don't believe is for the government to 8 tell me, but I will be making motions of that nature as soon as I obtain the documents and/or make some kind of intelligent 9 10 argument to the Court. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Let me suggest this. Why don't 12 you make all motions that you can make by the government's 1.3 first tranche on April 9th. Now that would have to be based on documents that 14 15 you already received as of this date, or at least that you're 16 going to shortly receive within the next few weeks. 17 MR. BALAREZO: April 9th? 18 THE COURT: April 9th, yes, that's when the 19 government has to move. You would move on the same day. 20 Oh, I'm sorry, I changed the government's dates. Ι 21 moved up the first tranche. 22 Can I move up the first tranche? 23 MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, sir. 2.4 MR. BALAREZO: There are a lot of people over there. 25 That's why I'm saying. THE COURT: Is there

I really want that to happen. I don't

anything I'm not perceiving that would make it impossible for the government to move on April 9th instead of May 7th?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. GOLDBARG: Your Honor, just in light of the discovery, we wanted to make sure that we had ample time to get everything to defense counsel so he would be in a position, but if the Court will like, we'll make that work.

want all these motions to be grouped towards the end.

Whatever we do, there are going to be things grouped towards the end, so let's do as much as we can well in advance. So let's say April 9th, May 7th, and May 21st for the first tranche.

THE COURT:

That will apply to defendant as well as to all motions that could reasonably have been made based on information turned over prior to that date. If you get something after that date that requires either a motion or maybe it strengthens a motion that I've denied because you didn't have the document, then move again, move for a new motion or move for a reconsideration. And on a reconsideration motion, I'll give you a de novo review if it's based on a newly received document.

MR. BALAREZO: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay, so that will be the motion schedule.

I have two pending motions. The defendant's motion

8

1 for disclosure of 404(b) material, and reconsideration on the 2 jury sequestration issue. 3 I'm not going to address those today because the 4 government's time to respond hasn't elapsed, let alone the 5 defendant's time to reply. But I wonder if the 404(b) can be 6 fit into the schedule that we just set forth. 7 Does that work? 8 MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, we would have suggested that to 9 the Court. 10 THE COURT: Which tranche, the first or second? 11 MS. GOLDBARG: The first tranche. 12 THE COURT: Okay. That's good enough. Right, 1.3 Mr. Balarezo? 14 MR. BALAREZO: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And other than setting another 16 status conference, that's all I have. 17 What else do the parties have? 18 MS. GOLDBARG: Just with regard to the defense 19 motion for reconsideration, there is no current schedule for 20 if you like the government to respond. 2.1 THE COURT: Don't we have a local rule? 22 MS. GOLDBARG: As far as I'm concerned. 23 THE COURT: I think so. I think it says 14 days. 24 So your response is due soon. But whatever the local rule

says, that's what we should do.

25

1 MS. GOLDBARG: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: What else, anything?

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, not with respect to the issues that were raised in the agenda. I had an opportunity to speak with my client yesterday and he informed me that he wishes to address the Court with respect to certain issues and...

THE COURT: At the very least, if I'm going to do that, you have to give me a preview of what the issues are, and you need to explain to Mr. Guzman that under our system, you know, he has the absolute right to represent himself, which I would not recommend, but if he is represented by lawyer, then his lawyer is supposed to do the talking, and hybrid representation is really not permitted in most situations.

Now I'm going to vary from that rule. I want to know what I'm getting into. In fact, if it's anything that can wait until our next status, I'd like a letter in advance so I can give some consideration and not have to make a spur-of-the-moment decision if I want to hear from him.

Do you know what the issues are?

MR. BALAREZO: I do. May I approach?

THE COURT: Is there some reason why you can't say

24 them publicly?

MR. BALAREZO: No. No. And the reason -- I

actually explained to Mr. Guzman, and I think I told him exactly what the Court just mentioned, however, he is -- he desires to speak to the Court directly, just so that the Court is aware of his particular concerns.

And I think if I can characterize his comments, it has to do with the way the conditions of requirement under the SAMs are prohibiting him from obtaining the defense that he wants. And there's a couple other comments, but that is the principal issue that he wishes to address with the Court.

THE COURT: What's the government's position on whether I should hear on this directly from the defendant?

MS. GOLDBARG: We defer to the Court, Your Honor.

There are issues we attempted to address with defense counsel

14 previously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: About how long, Mr. Balarezo?

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, no more than three, four minutes, probably.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

MR. BALAREZO: Do you want him to sit or stand?

THE COURT: He can stand or sit, whatever he wants.

MS. GOLDBARG: Your Honor, will we be able to approach sidebar on an issue before he starts?

THE COURT: Okay, with the understanding that if I don't think it needs to be at sidebar, I'm going to have it repeated publicly.

	PROCEEDINGS	11
1	MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, Your Honor.	
2	(Sidebar conference.)	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	(The following occurred at sidebar.)
2	MS. GOLDBARG: There have been some issues, and
3	since this, the government's discussed with defense counsel
4	the defendant attempting to pass messages through family
5	members either calls or family visits. We have a little bit
6	of a concern the defendant may attempt to try to pass a
7	message
8	THE COURT: Why didn't you object to his
9	presentation and say that.
10	MS. GOLDBARG: Because we would defer to defense
11	counsel.
12	MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, if I can interrupt.
13	THE COURT: Go ahead.
14	MR. BALAREZO: He wants to speak to the Court with
15	respect to the issues that I raised. The only thing he wants
16	to bring up to the Court that may even touch upon this, is
17	this past week there was an article that was published in
18	multiple Mexican media indicating that his family is not
19	paying for his defense because they have not heard from him
20	and/or know what his wishes are. And I know because he gave
21	me the notes and I wrote it for him, and that's what he has
22	now.
23	He is going to say he wants his family to know he
24	wants to go to trial and he wants his attorneys to be paid.
25	THE COURT: Why can't we just go back on the record

1	and say what we discussed at sidebar is that what the
2	defendant wants to do is refute an article in the newspaper
3	that says his family doesn't want to pay for them when, in
4	fact, he wants them to know that he does want them to pay for
5	them.
6	MR. BALAREZO: That's one of the issues he wants to
7	discuss with the Court.
8	THE COURT: What's to discuss? It is a message that
9	he wants to get to his family, right?
10	MR. BALAREZO: I guess you can characterize it
11	MS. GOLDBARG: The only issue that the defense
12	counsel had raised this issue with us we specifically obtained
13	a modification of the SAMs so the defendant could make that
14	request in writing that we would expedite and review it, and
15	that happened hasn't yet.
16	THE COURT: I don't see why this has to be at
17	sidebar and not public. I'm not crazy about it happening at
18	all. Let's get the discussion in the open.
19	MS. GOLDBARG: Thank you.
20	(End of sidebar conference.)
21	(Continued on the next page.)
22	
23	
24	

1 (In open court.)

1.3

2.1

THE COURT: Okay, there were not grounds to have a private session, so the transcript will be available and we're going to repeat essentially what's going on.

Notwithstanding the government's earlier statement that it defers to the Court as to whether the defendant should speak, I understand the government has some concerns. Let me hear the concerns.

MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, Your Honor.

Under the terms of the SAMs, the government is concerned that the defendant would use this opportunity to pass messages to family members or others and the media, so those would be our concerns.

Under the SAMs, defense counsel sought a modification of the SAMs to be able to pass his message in writing and it would go through the SAMs process in which it would be viewed by the agents. And we went to the appropriate authority and got authorization for that modification, and we didn't realize that this would be the same instance on the same materials. So there's already a process in place where this information can be relayed.

THE COURT: Mr. Balarezo.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, with respect to the issue of the passing messages, per se, Mr. Guzman, in part of what he wants to say, he wants to clarify certain media reports

that have been circuited regarding his family and their ability and/or desire to pay for attorney's fees.

1.3

THE COURT: Be as specific as you were at sidebar, please.

MR. BALAREZO: He wants to, in public, advise his family that he wishes to go to trial in this case. He's not going to cooperate. He's not going to plead guilty. And he also wants the family to know that they should pay for the attorneys.

THE COURT: Okay. Having made that record publicly, is there any reason why I now have to hear from him again?

MR. BALAREZO: Yes, Your Honor. Because that's not the sole subject of what he wants to discuss with the Court, number one. Number two is part of the issue is not that —believe me, I have told the family that he had hired me and, obviously, I'm standing here.

I have told the family that Mr. Guzman obviously wants to pay my fees, but that is not sufficient. Sometimes people need to hear it from the horse's mouth. And because of the rules, I'm not able to pass messages. He cannot talk to family. He cannot give instructions. And that's the problem.

THE COURT: That's not quite right, though, right?

MS. GOLDBARG: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As the government has pointed out, there are procedures in place to let him get the kind of information

that you've just stated. Which, by the way, I don't see why

his family would disbelieve you with him sitting right next to

you, so the message has been communicated in any event.

And if there's something else, let me know what it is he wants to allude to. That issue seems to me to be covered.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, the modification that the government discussed was made at my request.

THE COURT: Yes.

1.3

MR. BALAREZO: The procedure is only when Mr. Guzman wants to write a letter to his family, in this case his wife, is that he writes a letter, he gives it to the guards at the jail. The guards present it to the government and/or the agents, it can take up to 60 days, because the letter is in Spanish to translate it, to interrupt it, whatever it is they do, and then they'll put it in the mail and hopefully she'll get it.

I understand that Mr. Guzman has written letters to her in the past that she has not received. So my request was have him write the letter, have the government vet it, investigate it, whatever it is they do in that time, and then give it to me directly so that I can provide it to his wife.

They agreed. There is a modification for the SAMs. However, as you can see, his wife is in the audience. The letter, if I give it to the government today, for example, I

- can apparently only hand deliver it to her. So either I have to fly to Mexico to give her a letter or she has to come back
- 3 to the United States. I can't email it for whatever reason.
- 4 But any way, the letter would not --
- 5 THE COURT: Why not Federal Express, or not to favor 6 anyone, UPS or DHL?
 - MR. BALAREZO: Although I did not request the modification to say this, the modification says hand deliver to Ms. Loera.
- 10 THE COURT: Can't we use an international courier?

 11 MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, Your Honor. The reason that we

 12 put that in the modification was because that actually was
- what defense counsel requested. And when this issue was
- 14 raised, he said we can go back and ask for an additional
- 15 modification.

7

8

9

- 16 THE COURT: I expect the lawyers to work these kind
 17 of issues out. I think this can be done easily. I don't need
 18 to hear from Mr. Guzman. Sixty days is too long. If it's a
 19 short letter, get it done in a week. Okay?
- MS. GOLDBARG: Yes, Your Honor.
- MR. BALAREZO: I'm willing to offer the translation services to translate the letter from Spanish to English, if that will speed up the process.
- 24 THE COURT: And show it to the government in
 25 Spanish, that's fine. Really, you all have to work that out.

I don't need to get involved in that.

1.3

If there's anything else he wants to talk about, what I want you to do is before the next status conference, at least a couple weeks before, send me a letter explaining what it is. And then perhaps, like today, we'll find that with the letter, there's really no need for him to address me.

I have no doubt his family has heard what you said, and I have no reason to think they won't believe what you said.

MR. BALAREZO: Your Honor, with all due respect, the persons that we are dealing with don't necessarily rely on the attorney's words. That's all I'm saying.

THE COURT: If you want to ask your client if he disagrees with anything you've said, because it's been translated to him, he can tell me yes or no. And if he says he agrees, I just don't think I can do much more for him.

THE DEFENDANT: Are you in agreement with what you said? Yes. Yes, it's just that --

THE COURT: This is two minutes, Mr. Balarezo.

MR. BALAREZO: Mr. Guzman, through the interpreter, he agrees with what I represented to the Court, but he also wants to address the Court separate from the issues of fees and telling his family that he wants to address the Court the issues that are pertaining to him and his conditions and how he's affected.

1	THE COURT: Okay. I might hear that, but I'm not
2	going to hear that, you need to explain to him in a vacuum.
3	Just because we happen to be here today, I don't want to hear
4	it for the first time here.
5	I will take it up. All of his concerns. I will
6	take it up at the next hearing when I've got a letter from
7	you, at least two weeks in advance, explaining what the
8	concerns are and adding that item to the agenda to discuss.
9	That's why I get these agendas.
10	MR. BALAREZO: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: We'll do it that way. Tell him I may
12	yet hear from him but not today because it's too sudden.
13	Okay, anything else before we set another date?
14	MS. GOLDBARG: Not from the government, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I understand you've talked to my deputy
16	and we have a date in April.
17	MS. GOLDBARG: April 17th at 10 a.m.?
18	THE COURT: Is that okay with everyone?
19	MR. BALAREZO: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Time is to be excluded based on the
21	complexity of the case. I will see you then. Thank you very
22	much.
23	MR. BALAREZO: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	(Whereupon, the matter was concluded.)
25	